Is coffee powder extract a possible functional ingredient useful in food and nutraceutical industries?
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Abstract

The present study aimed to assess the phytochemical content and in vitro bioactivity of ethanolic extracts of Arabica (A) and/or Robusta (R) coffee powder having different geographical origins. For this purpose, total phenols (TPC) and flavonoids (TFC) content as well as α- and β-tocopherol were quantified. The antioxidant activity was assessed by using a multi-target approach in which the radical scavenging potential, the protection from lipid peroxidation, and the involvement of the iron-reducing mechanism were applied. The carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes’ (α-amylase and α-glucosidase) inhibitory activities were also assessed. Arabica coffee sample (C2-A) showed the highest TPC, TFC, and α-tocopherol content with values of 63.1 mg chlorogenic acid equivalents (CAE)/g dry powder, 16.2 mg of quercetin (QE) equivalents/g dry powder, and 5.6 mg/100 g dry powder, respectively. Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index (RACI), used to statistically integrate results from 2,2′-azino-bis(3-ethylbenzothiazoline-6-sulfonic acid) diammonium salt (ABTS), 2,2-diphenyl-1-picrylhydrazyl (DPPH), ferric reducing ability power (FRAP), and protection of lipid peroxidation assays, evidenced that sample C4-R derived from Robusta from Guatemala showed the highest antioxidant potential with a value of −0.61. Arabica from Puerto Rico was the most active against α-amylase, whereas the blend Arabica/Robusta sample (C5-A60R40) showed the highest inhibitory activity against α-glucosidase with IC50 values of 120.2 and 134.6 mg/mL, respectively. The results show how the qualitative-quantitative composition of the extracts is strongly associated not only with the variety but also with the geographical origin of the samples.
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Introduction

Coffee is consumed worldwide with a total production of 16,868 million of 60-kg bags in 2019/2020. The two commercially produced coffee species are *Coffea Arabica* Linn. (known as Arabica) and *Coffea canephora* Pierre ex Froehner (known as Robusta). More than 70 countries produce coffee, but most of the global output comes from the top five producers: Brazil, Vietnam, Colombia, Indonesia, and Ethiopia. Arabica coffee is mainly cultivated in Colombia, whereas Robusta is mainly cultivated in Vietnam and Ethiopia. Brazil cultivates
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Coffee samples and preparation of ethanolic extract

The roasted coffee powder from Coffea Arabica, C. canephora var. Robusta, and roasted coffee blends were supplied by a local industrial coffee roaster (Caffè del Faro, Robin S.r.l., Montegranaro, Italy) able to confirm their botanical and geographical origin, as well as the general type of postharvest processing (dry/wet process). Both Arabica and Robusta coffees had different geographic origins. All samples were roasted under the same conditions (175°C, 15 min). The compositional features of coffee samples investigated are reported in Table 1.

Seven grams of coffee powder were added to 30 mL of anhydrous ethanol and the mixture was magnetically stirred in the dark, at 25°C for 12 h. Then, the top phase was filtered and dried in a rotary evaporator. For each coffee sample, the ethanol extraction procedure was repeated in triplicate.
Table 1. Composition and geographical origin of coffee blend powders used for preparing ethanol extracts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample code</th>
<th>Coffee blend composition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1-A</td>
<td>Arabica 100% (Puerto Rico)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-A</td>
<td>Arabica 100% (50% Brazil, 20% Colombia, 20% Guatemala, 10% Ethiopia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-A</td>
<td>Arabica 100% (Colombia)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-R</td>
<td>Robusta 100% (Guatemala)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-A_{R/10}</td>
<td>60% Arabica (20% Brazil, 20% Colombia, 10% Guatemala, 10% Costa Rica)/40% Robusta (15% Vietnam, 25% India)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-A_{R/90}</td>
<td>10% Arabica (Brazil)/90% Robusta (20% India, 70% Vietnam)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-A_{R/75}</td>
<td>Decaffeinated coffee blend Arabica 75% (Unknown)/Robusta 25% (Unknown)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Bioactive phytochemicals content in coffee powder ethanol extracts

Total phenol (TPC) and flavonoid (TFC) contents were quantified using the spectrophotometric methods already published elsewhere (Loizzo et al., 2019). Coffee extract at a concentration of 1.5 mg/mL (0.1 mL) was mixed with a solution of Folin–Ciocalteu reagent (0.5 mL) and water (1 mL). After 1 min of incubation, 1.5 mL of 20% sodium carbonate was added, and the mixture was incubated at room temperature. The absorbance was measured at 765 nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). The total phenol content was expressed as milligrams of chlorogenic acid equivalents (CAE)/g dry powder. In the total flavonoid content (TFC) determination, coffee extract was mixed with aluminum chloride solution (2%) in a 1:1 ratio and incubated at room temperature for 15 min. The absorbance was measured using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) at 510 nm. The TFC was expressed as milligrams of quercetin equivalents (QE)/g dry powder.

Tocopherols’ profile was determined following the procedure reported by Giardinieri et al. (2019). Coffee ethanolic extract was dissolved in acetonitrile and analyzed by means of ultra-high performance liquid chromatography-fluorescence (UHPLC-FLD) using Ascentis® Express C18 (75 x 4.6 mm, 2.7 μm, from Supelco, Milan, Italy) as the analytical column. The mobile phase was acetonitrile/methanol (90:10, v/v), at a flow rate of 0.45 mL/min. The injection volume was 1 μL. FLD was set with an excitation wavelength of 290 nm and an emission wavelength of 330 nm. Calibration curves (25–250 μg/mL) were prepared for quantitative analysis with R² higher than 0.996 for both tocopherols.

In vitro antioxidant assays

ABTS and DPPH radicals scavenging tests were performed following the procedures reported by Loizzo et al. (2019), to investigate the radical scavenging potential of coffee powder samples.

For the ABTS test, a solution of ABTS radical cation was prepared by mixing 7 mM ABTS solution with 2.45 mM potassium persulfate and stored at room temperature. After 12 h, the solution was diluted with ethanol to an absorbance of 0.70 at 734 nm using a UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer. Dilution of extracts in ethanol was added to 2 mL of diluted ABTS’ solution in order to test the following concentrations from 400 to 1 μg/mL. After 6 min, the absorbance was read at 734 nm by using an UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy).

In the DPPH test, an aliquot of 1.5 mL of 0.25 mM DPPH radical (DPPH·) in ethanol was mixed with 12 μL of coffee extract to test concentrations ranging from 1000 to 1 μg/mL. The mixture was shaken and allowed to reach a steady state at 25°C for 30 min. After that, the absorbance was read at 517 nm by using the UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Ascorbic acid was used as positive control in both tests, and IC₅₀ values are reported in Table 4.

Protective effect on lipid peroxidation was assessed by using the previously described β-carotene bleaching test (Loizzo et al., 2019). One milliliter of β-carotene (0.2 mg/mL in chloroform) was mixed with linoleic acid (20 μL) and 100% Tween 20 (200 μL). After evaporation of the solvent and dilution with water, the emulsion (288 μL) was added to a 96-well microplate containing 12 μL of coffee extract in ethanol (concentrations ranging from 100 to 2.5 μg/mL). The plate was shaken and placed in a water bath at 45°C for 30 and 60 min of incubation. The absorbance was measured at 470 nm by using UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Propyl gallate was used as positive control, and IC₅₀ is reported in Table 4.

The FRAP test was performed following the procedure previously described by Loizzo et al. (2019). The FRAP value represents the ratio between the slope of the linear plot for reducing Fe³⁺-TPTZ reagent by different coffee powder ethanol extracts, compared to the slope of the plot obtained for FeSO₄. Butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) was used as positive control. For the preparation of the FRAP reagent, a mixture of 2.5 mL of 10 mM tripyridyltriazine (TPTZ) solution, 40 mM HCl, 2.5 mL of 20 mM FeCl₃, and 25 mL of 0.3 M acetate buffer (pH 3.6) was prepared. Sample at a concentration of 2.5 mg/mL in ethanol (100 μL) was mixed with 2.0 mL of FRAP reagent.
and 900 mL of water; the absorbance was measured at 595 nm by using the UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy) after 30 min of incubation at room temperature.

Carbohydrate hydrolysis enzymes inhibition

The hypoglycemic potential of coffee powder extracts was assessed by using the α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory tests (Loizzo et al., 2019). The enzyme solution was prepared by adding 0.0253 g of enzyme in 100 mL of cold water, and the starch solution was prepared by stirring (at 65°C for 15 min) 0.125 g of potato starch in 25 mL of sodium phosphate buffer (20 mM) and sodium chloride (6.7 mM). Samples were dissolved in ethanol at concentrations ranging from 1000 to 25 μg/mL, added to starch solution, and left to react with the enzyme at 25°C for 5 min. The absorbance was read at 540 nm by using the UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy).

In the α-glucosidase inhibitory test, a maltose solution was prepared by dissolving 12 g of maltose in 300 mL of 50 mM sodium acetate buffer; α-glucosidase (EC 3.2.1.20) solution was prepared by adding 1 mg of enzyme (10 units/mg) in 10 mL of ice-cold distilled water; and O-dianisidine (DIAN) solution was prepared by dissolving 1 tablet in 25 mL of distilled water [15]. The peroxidase/glucose oxidase (PGO) system-color reagent solution was obtained by dissolving one capsule in 100 mL of ice-cold distilled water. A mixture of 5 μL of the sample (at concentrations ranging from 1000 to 25 μg/mL), 250 μL maltose solution, and 5 μL enzyme was left to incubate at 37°C for 30 min. Then, 50 μL of perchloric acid was added, and the mixture was centrifuged. The supernatant was collected and mixed with 5 μL of DIAN and 300 μL of PGO and left to incubate at 37°C for 30 min. The absorbance was read at 500 nm by using the UV-Vis Jenway 6003 spectrophotometer (Carlo Erba, Milan, Italy). Acarbose was the positive control in both tests, and IC_{50} is reported in Table 5.

In the α-glucosidase inhibitory activity test, Acarbose was used as a positive control in both tests.

Statistical analysis

Data were expressed as means ± standard deviation (S.D.) (n = 3). Differences of polar phenolic substances and tocopherol content among samples were calculated using one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) with Tukey’s post hoc procedure (P < 0.05). The inhibitory concentration of 50% (IC_{50}) was calculated by nonlinear regression with the use of Prism Graph Pad Prism version 4.0 for Windows (Graph Pad Software, San Diego, CA, USA). Differences within and between groups were evaluated by one-way analysis of variance test (ANOVA) followed by a multicomparison Dunnert’s test compared with the positive control at a significance level of P < 0.001. Pearson’s correlation coefficient (r) and linear regression, assessment of repeatability, calculation of average and relative standard deviation were performed using Microsoft Excel 2010 software. The Relative Antioxidant Capacity Index (RACI) was used as a statistical approach to compare the antioxidant activity of coffee powder ethanol extracts obtained by different applied tests (Loizzo et al., 2019).

Results and Discussion

Ethanol extraction yield

Ethanol was chosen as the extraction solvent because it complies with the legislation on extraction solvents to be used in the production of foodstuffs and food ingredients (Directive 2009/32/EC, 2009), and also considering the effectiveness toward the extraction of bioactive substances, the toxicity, and environmental impact (Socaci et al., 2018). The investigated coffee powders provided an ethanol extract yield ranging from 43.5 to 111.0 g/kg dry powder. Generally, Arabica coffee powders gave higher extraction yields than Robusta samples, with the blends containing higher percentage of Robusta (40 and 60%) giving lower yields. Results are similar to those obtained from spent ground coffee, reported by Balzano et al. (2020), where samples of spent ground coffee extracted in the same experimental conditions gave a range of 58 to 112 g/kg of dry powder.

Bioactive phytochemicals in coffee ethanolic powder

Table 2 shows the average values of TPC and TFC in coffee powder ethanolic extracts. TPC content ranged from 11.0 to 63.1 mg CAE/g dry powder, whereas TFC varied from 4.5 to 16.2 mg QE/g dry powder. Generally, samples obtained from the Arabica variety had higher TPC and TFC than Robusta or Arabica/Robusta mixtures. These results are in agreement with those previously reported by other researchers. In particular, Bobková et al. (2020) investigated the effect of roasting process on the TPC of Arabica and Robusta coffee beans from different geographical origins. TPC values ranged from 49.19 to 74.05 mg CAE/g dry powder. Water coffee extracts showed the highest levels of TPC in green and light roasted coffees where values ranged from 49.19 to 74.05 g GAE/kg for Vietnam Queen and Ethiopia Sidamo, respectively, and from 38.34 to 59.79 g GAE/kg for India Monsooned Malabar and Ethiopia Sidamo, respectively. Interestingly,
the roasting process led to a reduction in TPC values from the green to dark roasting stage. This evidence confirmed that the coffee-growing region has probably an important influence on the development of this class of phytochemicals in coffee. The impact of the roasting process was the object of investigation by Sulaiman et al. (2011) that evidenced how TPC decreased linearly over the roasting temperature from 63.51 mg CAE/g coffee beans (roasted at 200°C) to 42.56 mg CAE/g coffee beans (roasted at 240°C). Similarly, Król et al. (2020) demonstrated that coffees roasted in light and medium roasting conditions are richer in TPC in comparison to dark roast coffee. Furthermore, organic coffee beans showed higher TPC and TFC content than conventional coffee beans (8.95 vs 8.28 mg/g and 1.35 vs 0.94 mg/g, respectively). The same observation was done by Acidri et al. (2020) that found a decline in TPC from 146.8 to 87 mg GAE/g DW in Indonesian Arabica coffee after the roasting process. The great variability of TPC found in literature confirmed that the content of these phytochemicals may be related to the varieties, the cultivation method, as well as to the coffee origin.

**Tocopherol content**

Tocopherols are very important molecules effectively inhibiting lipid oxidation in foods and the biological system. In coffee beans, the tocopherol content is approximately 3–10 mg/100 g (Górnaś et al., 2014). To investigate the relation between the overall antioxidant activity of the coffee extracts and their main chemical contributors, tocopherol composition and content were determined by means of RP-UPLC-FLD. As a result, α- and β- were the tocopherols largely predominant in the ethanol coffee extract samples investigated, with a certain variability of the total content, ranging, in the dry powder form, between 3 and 27 mg/100 g (in the decaffeinated sample C7-A, R and in the sample C1-A from Puerto Rico, respectively). The values referred to the ethanol extract range between 36 and 362 mg/100 g (Table 3). β-Tocopherol is predominant, and the ratio β-tocopherol/α-tocopherol varies from 1.6 to 4.0. The overall results are in agreement with several studies (Alves et al., 2009; González et al., 2001; Görnaś et al., 2014). Górnaś et al. (2014) found an average total tocopherol content in roasted Robusta of 11.54 mg/100 g, and 28.8 mg/100 g in roasted Arabica, and an average ratio β-/α-tocopherol of 1.2 in roasted Robusta and 3.1 in roasted Arabica. Alves et al. (2009) reported an average total value of α- and β-tocopherol of 9.7 mg/100 g in roasted Arabica and 3.1 mg/100 g in roasted Robusta, with a ratio β-α-tocopherol of 3.0 and 1.0 for Arabica and Robusta, respectively. González et al. (2001), found a total value of α- and β-tocopherol ranging from 1.9 to 4.6 mg/100 g in roasted Robusta and from 11.5 to 19.3 mg/100 g in roasted Arabica, with a ratio β-α-tocopherol ranging from 2.1 to 6.1 and from 3.5 and 6.0 in roasted Arabica and Robusta, respectively. The variability found in the investigated samples did not allow to highlight any statistical relationships between the tocopherol content and the Arabica/Robusta composition of the powder. A similar situation was observed also by Görnaś et al. (2014) and Alves et al. (2009).

### Coffee powder ethanolic extract bioactivity

**Antioxidant effects**

Oxidative stress in humans arises from an imbalance between radical oxygen species (ROS) and endogenous defense enzymes such as superoxide dismutase, catalase, glutathione peroxidase, etc. Besides these defenses, consumption of dietary antioxidants is fundamental to prevent the development of several diseases. By using different *in vitro* tests, we have checked the ability of

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>α - tocopherol</th>
<th>β - tocopherol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1-A</td>
<td>5.4 ± 0.5^d</td>
<td>21.3 ± 0.2^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-A</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.5^d</td>
<td>10.6 ± 0.2^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-A</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.0^f</td>
<td>13.9 ± 0.5^g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-R</td>
<td>4.1 ± 1.1^c</td>
<td>10.5 ± 0.5^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-A_R10</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.0^d</td>
<td>4.9 ± 0.1^f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-A_R10</td>
<td>5.4 ± 1.1^c</td>
<td>10.8 ± 0.4^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-A_R25</td>
<td>1.0 ± 0.0^d</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.0^f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are expressed as mean ± standard deviation (n = 3). 1 mg chlorogenic acid equivalents (CAE)/g dry powder; 1 mg of quercetin (QE) equivalents/g dry powder; and values in the same column with different superscript letters are significantly different (P < 0.05).
coffee powder extract to act as an antiradical or antioxidant agent. The approach with multiple tests is recommended for measuring antioxidant properties of food matrix to better reflect their potential protective effects. The antiradical activity characterizes the ability of phytochemicals to react with free radicals, while the antioxidant activity represents the ability to inhibit the oxidation process, which usually occurs through different reactions (Tirzitis and Bartosz, 2010). Generally, a concentration-effect relationship was found in all the tests except in the FRAP assay (Table 4).

The extract obtained from the Robusta sample (C4-R) showed the highest radical scavenging potential with IC_{50} values of 1.1 and 9.2 mg/mL for ABTS and DPPH assay, respectively. A promising radical scavenging activity was also observed in the decaffeinated sample C7-A, with IC_{50} values of 8.6 and 16.4 mg/mL for ABTS and DPPH assay, respectively. Both samples are also able to react as reductants in the FRAP assay (FRAP values of 56.8 and 56.9 mM Fe (II)/g at 2.5 mg/mL). These values are quite lower than that reported for the positive control BHT (63.2 mM Fe (II)/g at 2.5 mg/mL). The antioxidant activity of coffee samples was also tested, using the DPPH assay. This assay evaluated the ability of the phytochemical to protect against lipid peroxidation. Since no high temperatures are required, the antiradical activity of thermosensitive phytochemicals may be determined and quantitatively evaluated.

A positive Pearson’s correlation coefficient was found between TFC and DPPH (r = 0.70) and b-tocopherol and both DPPH and ABTS tests (r = 0.87 and 0.76, respectively). A significant positive correlation coefficient was also observed for TFC and b-carotene bleaching test after 30 and 60 min of incubation (r = 0.61 and 0.79, respectively). Based on RACI statistical approach C4-R, richest in phenols and b-tocopherol resulted the most active as antioxidant. Tocopherols are able not only to react toward free radicals and hydroperoxides but also with many other possible side reactions which are affected by tocopherol concentrations, type of substrate, and by other chemical species acting as pro-oxidants and synergists in the system.

### Table 4. In vitro antioxidant activity of coffee powder ethanol extracts from Arabica and Robusta varieties and their blend.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>DPPH test IC_{50} (μg/mL)</th>
<th>ABTS test IC_{50} (μg/mL)</th>
<th>FRAP test μM Fe (II)/g</th>
<th>β-Carotene bleaching test IC_{50} (μg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>30 min</td>
<td>60 min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1-A</td>
<td>809.5 ± 2.9***</td>
<td>411.3 ± 3.8****</td>
<td>16.9 ± 1.3****</td>
<td>34.6 ± 0.7****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2-A</td>
<td>212.6 ± 2.4****</td>
<td>101.2 ± 3.7****</td>
<td>6.8 ± 0.7****</td>
<td>20.5 ± 0.8****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3-A</td>
<td>460.4 ± 3.5****</td>
<td>445.5 ± 3.1****</td>
<td>13.5 ± 0.7****</td>
<td>52.1 ± 0.7****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4-R</td>
<td>9.2 ± 0.8**</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.4**</td>
<td>56.8 ± 2.7**</td>
<td>5.3 ± 0.6*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C5-A\text{R}_{25}</td>
<td>138.5 ± 2.6****</td>
<td>83.6 ± 1.2****</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.6****</td>
<td>11.0 ± 0.2**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C6-A\text{R}_{50}</td>
<td>178.9 ± 3.5****</td>
<td>52.8 ± 1.3****</td>
<td>15.0 ± 0.6****</td>
<td>50.9 ± 1.6****</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C7-A\text{R}_{50}</td>
<td>16.4 ± 1.1****</td>
<td>8.6 ± 0.9****</td>
<td>57.9 ± 1.8**</td>
<td>10.9 ± 1.4**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Positive controls:
- Ascorbic acid: 5.0 ± 0.8
- BHT: –
- Propyl gallate: –

Data are given as media ± S.D. (n = 3); 2 at 2.5 mg/mL; DPPH Radical Scavenging Activity Assay; Antioxidant Capacity Determined by Radical Cation (ABTS’); β-Carotene bleaching test; Ferric ion reducing antioxidant power (FRAP); Ascorbic acid. BHT and Propyl gallate were used as positive controls in antioxidant tests. Differences within and between groups were evaluated by One-way ANOVA followed by a multicomparison Dunnett’s test (α = 0.01): ***P < 0.0001, **P < 0.001, *P < 0.01, P < 0.1 compared to the positive controls.

### Carbohydrate hydrolysis enzymes’ inhibitory effect by coffee powder extracts

The inhibition of carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes, α-amylase and α-glucosidase, given by coffee powder extract was concentration-dependent (Table 5). Generally, α-amylase enzyme was the most sensitive to the action of the extracts (see selectivity index, SI).
C1-A extract showed the best activity with IC$_{50}$ value of 120.2 mg/mL followed by Guatemalan Robusta extract (C4-R) and decaffeinated sample (C7-A$_{75}$R$_{25}$) with IC$_{50}$ values of 122.4 and 130.9 mg/mL, respectively. Except sample C5-A$_{60}$R$_{40}$ that showed IC$_{50}$ value of 134.6 mg/mL against α-glucosidase, all other samples are less active (IC$_{50}$ values in the range 320.4–472.4 mg/mL). Sample C1-A showed the highest TPC content. Among phytochemicals able to interfere with carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes, phenols represent the main studied compounds (Loizzo et al., 2017). Several studies pointed out that CGAs, that are reported as the most abundant phytochemicals in coffee extract (Leon et al., 2019; Jeszka-Skowron et al., 2016), inhibited both α-amylase and α-glucosidase with IC$_{50}$ values of 25 and 26.07 M, respectively (Oboh et al., 2015). CGAs also stimulate glucose uptake in skeletal muscle and suppression of hepatic glucose production by AMPK activation (Ong et al., 2013). In addition, it has been found that CGAs could modulate glucose in both genetically and healthy metabolic related disorders including DM (Naveed et al., 2018). Differently Nyambe-Silavwe and Williamson (2018) demonstrated that both CGAs are only weak inhibitors of human salivary α-amylase despite several publications claiming otherwise. In fact, more recently, Herawati et al. (2019) demonstrated that Robusta coffee beans extract obtained after roasting, grinding, and brewing process was able to inhibit α-glucosidase activity up to 69% and exerted anti-glycation activity.

**Conclusion**

The present work investigated the bioactive phytochemicals content, in vitro antioxidant activity, and hypoglycemic potential of ethanol extracts deriving from Arabica and Robusta coffee powders, as well as their mixture, from different geographical origins. A great variability in terms of total phenol, flavonoid, and tocopherol content was observed, and this evidence strongly influenced the bioactivity although it is not possible to identify any relationship with the coffee variety and blend composition. Our findings strongly emphasize that coffee ethanol extracts should be used as a value-added ingredient for formulations of nutraceutical or functional products useful for the prevention of disease associated with oxidative stress and hyperglycemic condition.
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