Ultrasound-assisted extraction of Gac (Momordica cochininchinensis Spreng.) leaves: effect of maturity stage on phytochemicals and carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes inhibitory activity
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Abstract

Although phytochemical contents of Gac fruit have been extensively analyzed, information about the bioactive compounds and valorization of Gac leaves is limited. In this study, Gac (Momordica cochininchinensis Spreng.) leaves at different maturity stages (young: YL, mature: ML and old: OL leaves) were extracted during a 20 min of 150-W sonication process. Color, phytochemicals, antioxidant activity, and inhibitory effects against carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes were assessed by colorimetric, high-performance liquid chromatography, and spectrophotometric methods, respectively. Results indicated a decrease in L* (lightness) and an increase in a* (greenness–redness) during maturation of leaves. The YL extract had the highest contents of phytochemicals with 4897.01 (mg gallic acid equivalent [GAE] per 100 gram dried weight [DW]), total phenolics, 592.81 (mg quercetin [QE]/100 g DW), total flavonoids, 34.77% α-amylase inhibitory activity, and 40.21% α-glucosidase inhibitory activity. Myricetin (43%), vitexin (22%), and esculetin (11%) were the major bioactive compounds detected in YL extract. Also, the superoxide dismutase (SOD)-like capacity of the extract decreased from 11,599.96 to 3,999.63 U/g DW during the transformation of YL to OL. Extract of Gac leaves was found to be a potential ingredient for food preservation and supplementation that could reduce postprandial hyperglycemia.
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Introduction

Ultrasound-assisted extraction is an innovative technique used widely for extracting bioactive compounds from plant materials (Munekata et al., 2020). Through cavitation phenomena of bubbles, ultrasound-assisted extraction can increase the permeability of plant cell walls, enhance the contact surface area between solvent and samples, and release more phenolic compounds (Moreira et al., 2019). This simple and low-cost technique has been suggested to be an alternative to the conventional extraction method, as it can save extraction time and enhance extraction efficiency (Guglielmetti et al., 2017; İşçimen et al., 2018; Karadag et al., 2019; Surin et al., 2020). This technology is used to extract Gac peel and other plant material (Chuyen et al., 2020). Starch hydrolysis because of the activities of α-amylase in the salivary pancreas and glands combined with α-glucosidase in the small intestine is the main reason of blood glucose. Inhibiting the functioning of these enzymes could reduce postprandial hyperglycemia. Hence, many
of the medicines prescribed for type II diabetes contain bioactive compounds with carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes inhibitory activity (Adekoja et al., 2017; Özcan, 2020). Usually, synthetic medicines taken for diabetes (e.g., voglibose, acarbose and miglitol) are good competitive inhibitors of α-glucosidase and α-amylase, hence inhibit patient’s ability to break down complex carbohydrates into glucose. However, some side effects, including diarrhea, flatulence and abdominal discomfort, have been reported for the long-term prescription of these medicines (Mogole et al., 2020). Besides, there is a trend for replacing synthetic medicines with natural ones among the health-conscious consumers. Therefore, there is a growing market for natural medicines such as bioactive compounds extracted from plants. Moreover, some plant extracts have been suggested as a suitable source of carbohydrate hydrolase inhibitors with probably limited or no side effects (Veiga et al., 2020). For example, Dioscorea polystachya and Morus Alba have been demonstrated for their high α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory potential, respectively; and the blends of pineapple, apple and ginger as a beverage can be used as a dietary supplement to prevent diabetes mellitus because of their hypoglycemic effects (Ademosun et al., 2020; Ng and See, 2019). The food and pharmaceutical industries are exploring the possibility of developing new anti-diabetics from other plant materials to satisfy market demand.

Belonging to the Cucurbitaceae family, Gac (Momordica Cochinchinensis Spreng.) is a perennial plant distributed widely in many regions of the world, including Northeastern Australia as well as South-East and South Asia (Chuyen et al., 2014). Gac fruit was used as a natural colorant in cuisines and was believed to improve human vision. Previous studies have demonstrated that high contents of carotenoids, lycopene and medicinal components (e.g., saponins and triterpenoids) are found in fruit, aril and seeds of Gac, respectively (Abdulkader et al., 2018; Chuyen et al., 2014; Kha et al., 2013; Yu et al., 2017). Besides, Gac leaves were consumed in traditional medicine for various purposes such as curing fever, back pain, inflammation, wart and hemorrhoids. However, information about its bioactive components and biological effects is limited. A previous study had explored carbohydrate-lytic enzyme inhibitory, anti-inflammatory and antioxidant abilities of other species of Momordica, that is, M. dioica, M. charantia, M. charantia var. muri-cata (Nagarani et al., 2014). However, to the best of our knowledge, there is no published paper in the scientific literature that has examined the bioactive content and possible biological effects of Gac leaves (M. cochinchinensis Spreng.). The objectives of this research were to analyze the phytochemicals available in Gac leaves and to explore their best maturity stages that could yield an extract with the highest α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory activities.

Materials and Methods

Plant materials

The fresh Gac leaves were collected in Pingtung county, Taiwan in November 2019. The identity of the plant species (M. cochinchinensis Spreng.) was confirmed as described by experts in the literature. These leaves were divided into three different stages of maturity (YL: young; ML: mature and OL: old leaves) according to visual color and size as described in the literature (Angmo et al., 2019). The average width and length of leaves were measured using a ruler. Besides, the color values of leaves (L*: lightness; a*: greenness-redness and b*: blueness-yellowness) were measured using a colorimeter (ZE 2000, Nippon Denshoku, Japan). Then, leaves were dried at 45°C for 48 h using the oven drying method (OV-100, Precision oven, HiPoint, Taiwan) until the final water activity of samples reached 0.32 (Roukas and Kotzekidou, 2020). Dried leaves were ground into powder using a pulverizing machine (RT-N08, RT, Taiwan), sieved by a 0.2–0.5-mm mesh screen to maintain constant particle size, packaged in airtight polyethylene films (0.04-mm thickness) and kept at –4°C until analysis (schematic representation is presented in Figure 1).

Chemicals

Standards of phenolic and flavonoid compounds: 4-nitrophenyl α-D-galactopyranoside (PNPG), 3,5-dinitrosalicylic acid (DNS), α-amylase from Bacillus sp., intestinal acetone powder from rat, Folin & Ciocalteu’s phenol reagent, L-methionine, riboflavin and nitrotetrazolium blue chloride (NBT) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, USA. Aluminum chloride hex hydrate, dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO), potassium acetate, potassium hydrogen phosphate, potassium dihydrogen phosphate, potassium sodium tartrate-4-hydrate, sodium acetate, sodium carbonate, sodium hydroxide and sodium hydrogen phosphate were purchased from J.T. Baker, Avantor, PA, USA. Methanol was obtained from Aencore Chemical Pvt. Ltd., Surrey Hills, Australia.

Extraction procedure

A mixture of Gac leaves powder (1 g) and 50% aqueous ethanol (40 mL) was extracted using a 150-W ultrasonic bath (DC150, Delta, Taiwan) for 20 min based on preliminary studies. The temperature was monitored by a laboratory thermometer and adjusted at 25°C. These extraction conditions were defined according to a previous study with some modifications (Tian et al., 2019). The extract was then centrifuged at 10,000 rpm for 20 min, filtered with 90-mm filter paper and kept at -20°C until further analysis.
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Identification of phenolic and flavonoid compounds using high performance liquid chromatography

The sample extract was filtered using a 0.45-µm syringe filter (PTFE013N045I, PureTech Syringe Filter, Taiwan) before injecting it into the high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (L-7100, Hitachi, Japan). The injected extract (20 µL) was then separated chromatographically on a Mightysil RP-18 column (250 mm × 4.6 mm, 5 µm) (Dobrinčić et al., 2020). The mobile phases were 0.25% formic acid, 2% methanol in ultrapure water (solvent B) and 100% methanol grade (solvent C) with a flow rate of 1 mL/min. The chromatographic peaks in extracts were identified and measured by collating their UV-visible spectra (280 nm) and retention period with those of external standards. The content of each polyphenol was presented as milligram per 100 g of dried weight (mg/100 g DW).

Determination of α-amylase inhibitory activity

α-Amylase inhibitory activity was determined based on a previous report with slight modifications (Shori, 2020). Briefly, the solvent of extract was first removed by a vacuum evaporator, the residue was then dissolved in 2-mL 50% DMSO. Next, a mixture of this DMSO extract (100 µL) and 0.5 U/mL α-amylase solution (100 µL) was transferred in a tube and incubated at 37°C for 5 min. Next, 100 µL of starch solution (1%) was added and re-incubated for 5 min at 37°C. The reaction ended using...
1 mL of DNS color reagent, then incubated for 5 min in a boiling water bath and cooled down. The absorbance was read at a wavelength of 540 nm. The background (replacing the starch by pH 6.9 buffer) and control (50% DMSO instead of sample extract) were also prepared by the same procedure. The α-amylase inhibitory activity was calculated according to Equation 1:

$$\text{α-amylase IA (\%) = \frac{A_C - (A_S - A_{BG})}{A_C} \times 100\%}$$ (1)

where IA is inhibitory activity inhibitory activity, $A_C$ is the control absorbance, $A_S$ is the sample extract absorbance, and $A_{BG}$ is the background absorbance.

**Determination of α-glucosidase inhibitory activity**

α-Glucosidase inhibitory activity was determined according to a previous method with partial modifications (dos Santos Pereira et al., 2020). Before pre-incubated for 5 min at 37°C, a mixture of DMSO extract (5 µL) and α-glucosidase solution (10 µL) was put into a 96-well microplate. Then, 0.01-M p-nitrophenyl α-D-galactopyranoside (10 µL) was added in each well and incubated for 20 min at 37°C. The mixture reaction was stopped by adding 200-µL 0.1-M sodium carbonate. The absorbance was recorded at a wavelength of 405 nm using a microplate reader (AMR-100, Clubio, Taiwan). Control without sample, and background without PNPG were also determined. Ability to inhibit α-glucosidase was calculated according to Equation 2:

$$\text{α-glucosidase IA (\%) = \frac{A_C - (A_S - A_{BG})}{A_C} \times 100\%}$$ (2)

where IA is inhibitory activity inhibitory activity, $A_C$ is the control absorbance, $A_S$ is the sample extract absorbance, and $A_{BG}$ is the background absorbance.

**Determination of superoxide dismutase-like activity**

Superoxide dismutase (SOD)-like capacity was evaluated following the previous procedure with slight modifications (Cheng et al., 2015). A volume of 2.95 mL of $1.67 \times 10^{-4}$ M NBT and 0.01-M L-methionine was added into each tube containing 50 µL of extract sample; 3 µL of 2.4 × 10^{-6} M riboflavin was added before transferring the test tubes into a light box and incubated for 15 min. The blue formazan formed was read at 560 nm. The control (buffer instead of sample) and background (riboflavin replacement buffer) were also determined. The SOD-like activity (percentage of scavenging ability) was first defined by Equation 3, then measured through the SOD standard curve and represented as unit per gram dried weight sample (U/g DW).

$$\text{Scavenging ability (\%) = \frac{A_C - (A_S - A_{BG})}{A_C} \times 100\%}$$ (3)

where $A_C$ is the control absorbance, $A_S$ is the sample extract absorbance, and $A_{BG}$ is the background absorbance.

Besides, the relationship between maturity level of Gac leaves and the SOD-like activity of the extract was explored using different equations to develop a mathematical model for the prediction of antioxidant activity of extract as a function of degree of maturity.

**Statistical analysis**

The results were presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) ($n = 3$). The significant difference between samples was compared by Duncan’s multiple-range tests ($P < 0.05$) by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using IBM SPSS statistics version 22. The correlation between phenolic compounds and the ability to inhibit carbohydrate hydrolyzed enzymes was determined using Pearson’s correlation analysis with two-tailed test.

**Results and Discussion**

**Physical properties of Gac leaves at different maturity stages**

**Average size**

At different maturity stages of Gac leaves, the average size (width and length) was measured as represented in Table 1.

### Table 1. Average size and color values of Gac leaves at different maturity stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Width (cm)</th>
<th>Length (cm)</th>
<th>L*</th>
<th>a*</th>
<th>b*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>YL</td>
<td>7.07 ± 0.51&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9.63 ± 0.70&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>44.89 ± 0.08&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-7.44 ± 0.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.08 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ML</td>
<td>14.67 ± 1.06&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>15.40 ± 0.70&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>42.76 ± 0.26&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-6.58 ± 0.10&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>18.37 ± 0.13&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OL</td>
<td>16.37 ± 0.45&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>17.57 ± 0.31&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>37.95 ± 0.24&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>-6.44 ± 0.02&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>16.14 ± 0.09&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) ($n = 3$). <sup>a–c</sup>Values in columns with different letters indicate the significant difference ($P < 0.05$). YL: young leaf; ML: mature leaf; OL: old leaf. L*: lightness; a*: greenness—redness; b*: blueness—yellowness.
It was observed that OL had the highest values of width and length (16.37 and 17.57 cm, respectively) as compared to those of ML and YL. This suggests that the leaf size increases with development of plant and reaches the maximum limit at old stage. Similar results were demonstrated at three different maturity stages of beet leaves (Angmo et al., 2019).

Color values

The color values of Gac leaves at different maturity stages were evaluated using L’, a’ and b’ parameters as presented in Table 1. With significant difference in samples, following color value ranges were obtained: lightness L’ (37.95–44.89), yellowness b’ (16.14–18.08) and greenness a’ (-7.44–6.44). The decrease in L’ and increase in a’ from YL to OL indicated that the green of Gac leaves was becoming darker and browner with leaf maturation. This suggested that the plant growth could affect pigment components and color parameters in different parts of the plant. Similar observations were reported in a previous study (Patsilinakos et al., 2018).

Total phenolics content and total flavonoids content

Total phenolics content and total flavonoids content of Gac leaves at three maturing stages extracted by ultrasound-assisted extraction treatment are presented in Table 2. According to the results, the extract obtained from YL had the highest TPC and TFC values (4897.01 mg GAE/100 g DW and 592.81 mg QE/100 g DW, respectively) followed by that of ML and OL. This observation indicated that the secondary metabolites (phenolics and flavonoids) are probably reduced by oxidative stress during plant growth and aging. Previous studies have established a similar trend in Coffee arabica L. leaves, the highest content of total phenolics was found in the extract of young leaves (Ngamsuk et al., 2019). However, the TFC expressed a slight difference in trend: it increased significantly (P < 0.05) from mature to old stage after decreasing from young to mature stage, that is, some new flavonoid compounds were synthesized in smaller quantities in old stage.

Phytochemicals in different maturing stages

The phytochemicals obtained from YL, ML and OL extracts are listed in Table 3. In YL extract, myricetin, vitexin and esculetin accounted for nearly 43%, 22% and 11%, respectively, of all the individual compounds detected, which were approximately 4.15, 10.62 and 2.36 times higher than that in OL extract (Figure 2). This indicated that these compounds were reduced with the aging of plant. Similarly, chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid, respectively, decreased from 339.74 and 228.56 mg/100 g DW in YL extract to 56.53 and 11.63 mg/100 g DW in OL extract. In addition, catechin, caffeine and quercetin reduced by leaf senescence couldn’t be detected in OL extract. This observation suggested that because of the aging process, there were notable differences in the amounts of components at different maturing stages of Gac leaves, and this was demonstrated in the guava leaf extract (Angmo et al., 2019). Results demonstrated that extract of Gac leaves contained several phenolic and flavonoid compounds that are found in the leaves of Momordica species (Nagarani et al., 2014). For example, gallic acid, chlorogenic acid, ferulic acid, quercetin, ellagic acid and catechin were identified in these Momordica leaves extract; the major components of M. charantia var muricata and M. charantia leaves were chlorogenic acid and quercetin, while M. dioica had rutin and ellagic acid.

Some compounds (including catechin, vanillic acid, epicatechin, chlorogenic acid and myricetin), in a previous study, have established inhibitory ability against α-amylase and α-glucosidase thru IC50 value with the following respective values: catechin, 8.41 mM and 13.4 μM; vanillic acid, 27.89 mM and 277.38 μM; epicatechin, 7.34 mM and 11.75 μM; chlorogenic acid, 11.57 mM and 231.80 μM; and myricetin, 1.19 mM and 2.73 μM (Tan et al., 2017). Containing the highest levels of these compounds in the extract, YL has clearly demonstrated a strong inhibitory ability toward α-amylase and α-glucosidase. In addition, OL demonstrated a significant increase in ellagic acid and morin with 2.36 and 1.88 times higher than that in YL, respectively; and 3.06 and

| Table 2. Phytochemicals content and carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzymes inhibitory activity at different maturity stages. |
|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| **Maturing stage**              | **TPC (mg GAE/100 g DW)** | **TFC (mg QE/100 g DW)** | **α-amylase inhibitory activity (%)** | **α-glucosidase inhibitory activity (%)** | **SOD-like activity (Scavenging ability %)** |
| YL                              | 4897.01 ± 98.74a | 592.81 ± 18.87a | 34.77 ± 1.71a | 40.21 ± 1.55a | 24.29 ± 1.00a |
| ML                              | 4318.08 ± 261.32b | 365.69 ± 14.67c | 32.18 ± 1.14b | 29.17 ± 2.34b | 16.27 ± 1.21b |
| OL                              | 3329.28 ± 223.70c | 487.73 ± 18.64b | 29.98 ± 0.55b | 41.82 ± 0.29a | 10.15 ± 1.71c |

The results are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (n = 3). *In the same column, different letters express remarkable difference between samples (P < 0.05), Duncan’s test. YL: young leaf; ML: mature leaf; OL: old leaf; TPC: total phenolic content; TFC: total flavonoid content; GAE: gallic acid equivalent; DW: dry weight; QE: quercetin.
Table 3. Concentrations of total phenolic and flavonoid compounds at different maturity stages.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compounds</th>
<th>Chemical formula</th>
<th>OL (mg/100 g DW)</th>
<th>ML (mg/100 g DW)</th>
<th>YL (mg/100 g DW)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Esculetin</td>
<td>C₉H₆O₄</td>
<td>227.41 ± 39.28b</td>
<td>320.02 ± 61.72b</td>
<td>537.29 ± 46.95a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Catechin</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₇</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>14.2 ± 0.16b</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.50a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorogenic acid</td>
<td>C₁₆H₁₈O₉</td>
<td>56.53 ± 5.07c</td>
<td>151.55 ± 21.57d</td>
<td>339.74 ± 41.25a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanillic acid</td>
<td>C₈H₈O₄</td>
<td>11.63 ± 0.67c</td>
<td>39.22 ± 9.50d</td>
<td>228.56 ± 11.85a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeic acid</td>
<td>C₉H₈O₄</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>1.42 ± 0.16b</td>
<td>2.24 ± 0.50a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epicatechin</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₄O₆</td>
<td>28.34 ± 1.99b</td>
<td>23.25 ± 4.09b</td>
<td>66.14 ± 5.00a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p-coumaric acid</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₄</td>
<td>10.77 ± 0.92c</td>
<td>31.93 ± 3.26b</td>
<td>88.16 ± 11.01a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sinapic acid</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₅</td>
<td>10.61 ± 1.75c</td>
<td>26.23 ± 2.00b</td>
<td>62.56 ± 4.11a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ferulic acid</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₄</td>
<td>104.91 ± 23.36d</td>
<td>247.20 ± 17.31c</td>
<td>1114.31 ± 135.63a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitexin</td>
<td>C₂₁H₂₀O₁₀</td>
<td>71.91 ± 11.33e</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate</td>
<td>C₂₇H₃₀O₁₆</td>
<td>16.70 ± 1.90a</td>
<td>5.17 ± 0.40b</td>
<td>1.90 ± 0.35c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rutin</td>
<td>C₂₁H₂₀O₁₀</td>
<td>364.71 ± 48.92a</td>
<td>118.85 ± 9.96c</td>
<td>154.51 ± 4.99b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resveratrol</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₂O₃</td>
<td>360.73 ± 58.48c</td>
<td>968.44 ± 95.50a</td>
<td>2203.48 ± 118.71a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ellagic acid</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₂O₄</td>
<td>138.26 ± 19.50d</td>
<td>32.45 ± 6.98c</td>
<td>73.64 ± 4.17b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Myricetin</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₂O₄</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.57c</td>
<td>14.26 ± 2.61b</td>
<td>17.98 ± 0.46a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morin</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₂O₄</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>11.19 ± 2.49b</td>
<td>52.53 ± 3.62p</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cinnamic acid</td>
<td>C₁₄H₁₀O₇</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.16h</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quercetin</td>
<td>C₁₅H₁₀O₇</td>
<td>4.38 ± 0.57c</td>
<td>14.26 ± 2.61b</td>
<td>17.98 ± 0.46a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hesperidin</td>
<td>C₂₈H₃₄O₁₅</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The values are presented as mean ± standard deviation (SD) (n = 3). a–h Values in columns with different lowercase letters indicate the significant difference (P < 0.05). A–C Values in rows with different uppercase letters indicate the significant difference (P < 0.05). ND: not detected. YL: young leaf; ML: mature leaf; OL: old leaf; DW: dry weight.

Figure 2. Main components of Gac leaves extract as affected by maturity stages. YL (young leaf), ML (mature leaf), and OL (old leaf) are presented in the inner, middle, and outer graphs, respectively.
4.26 times higher than that in ML, respectively. With a low IC_{50} value (morin: 32 μM, ellagic acid: 18.4 μg/mL) (Assefa et al., 2020; Proença et al., 2017), the increase of these two compounds may explain a higher inhibition of α-glucosidase in OL extract. According to the results, YL samples present the best maturing stage of Gac leaves for obtaining the highest concentration of bioactive compounds and the strongest inhibition of carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes for further experiments.

Effects on carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes’ inhibitory activity

For the starch hydrolysis enzymes' inhibitory activity, the effects of maturing stages of Gac leaves presented the same tendency with the content of phytochemicals (Table 2). The higher α-amylase and α-glucosidase inhibitory abilities belonged to YL extract (34.77 and 40.20%, respectively), which is probably because of higher concentrations of most phenolic and flavonoid components in YL. It has been explained previously, how flavonoid compounds could contribute in lowering the blood sugar and what are the mechanisms involved in their anti-diabetic properties (AL-Ishaq et al., 2019). The results demonstrated that Gac leaves contain bioactive compounds that could lower the blood sugar, and the concentration of these compounds varies depending on the maturity stage of Gac leaves. This indicates the importance of effective compounds in lowering the blood sugar level, and this study established that such an extract and its effective components could be established as possible ingredients for developing nutritional supplements.

The results of the present study were similar to those reported for extracts obtained from the leaves of Momordica charantia Linn and Momordica charantii var. muricata (with an approximate α-amylase inhibition of 45% and α-glucosidase inhibition of 40%, respectively) (Nagarani et al., 2014). Besides, previous research done on Ceylon cinnamon leaves demonstrated a similar trend, that is, higher concentrations of polyphenolic compounds were correlated with stronger anti-amylase activity (Abeysekera et al., 2019). However, the anti-glucosidase activity obtained from YL and OL extracts was almost the same in spite of significant difference in TPC and TFC, suggesting that several new compounds (e.g., ethyl 3,4-dihydroxybenzoate and hesperidin) were synthesized in the old stage of Gac leaves.

Effects on SOD scavenging activity

Superoxide dismutase, an important antioxidant enzyme in animals and plants, is a free radical scavenger that transformed reactive oxygen species formed during reduction and oxidation into H_2O_2 and O_2 (Cheng et al., 2015; Stephenie et al., 2020). SOD activity at different maturity stages of Gac leaves is presented in Table 2. The data ranged from 10.15% to 24.29% of free radical scavenging activity of SOD (equivalent to 3999.63–11599.96 U/g DW), which presented the same result with M. charantia Linn. leaf extract (22%) but lower than that of M. charantia var. muricata and M. dioica leaf extracts, that is, 35% and 51%, respectively (Nagarani et al., 2014). Besides, the highest SOD level was achieved from YL extract (11599.96 U/g DW), followed by ML and OL (7289.70 and 3999.63 U/g DW, respectively) (Figure 3) (P < 0.05). This demonstrates a similar trend with the content of phytochemicals and carbohydrate hydrolyzing enzyme inhibitory activity. The high correlation between them has been proved in a previous study (Shimada et al., 2020). For example, a previous study explained the association between anti-glucosidase ability and SOD-like activity (correlation coefficient: 0.72), and between total soluble phenolic content and SOD-like activity (correlation coefficient: 0.71).

According to Figure 3, the SOD scavenging activity of Gac leaves extract depended on the degree of maturity, which declined with the aging of leaves. A polynomial model was developed, which well predicted (R^2 = 1) the changes in the SOD scavenging activity of Gac leaf extract (Equation 4):

\[ y = 675.87x^2 - 6337.9x + 17, \]  

(4)

where y is the SOD scavenging activity of the extract in U/g DW and x^2 is the degree of maturity of Gac leaves (YL = 1, ML = 2 and OL = 3).

Conclusion

For the first time, bioactive compounds of Gac leaves were extracted and analyzed whereas previous research
had primarily focused on the fruit of this plant. The Gac leaves extract was found to be rich in phenolics and flavonoids. Relatively high concentrations of compounds, such as myricetin, vitexin, esculetin, chlorogenic acid and vanillic acid, suggested that this plant extract is a source of valuable bioactive compounds. It was demonstrated that the degrees of maturity can significantly affect the chemical composition and carbohydrate-hydrolyzing enzymes inhibitory activity of Gac leaves. Young leaves were found to be superior to others in terms of quantity and quality of phytochemicals because of the highest concentration of most compounds obtained, and they possess the strongest ability in inhibiting α-amylase and α-glucosidase. Therefore, Gac leaves have the potential to be used as an ingredient of functional foods, nutritional supplements and pharmaceuticals because of the benefits such as lowering hyperglycemia and antioxidant properties. Additional pharmacological research is needed for its use in the treatment of diabetes.
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